



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). T	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,212		12/15/2003	Jason Cadera	4320-530	4795	
1059	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER		
BERESK			MENON, KRISHNAN S			
40 KING S	STREET V	VEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOX 401			AKTOWI	TALER NOMBER		
TORONTO	O, ON M	15H 3Y2	1723			
CANADA	•					
Or in vi ibi i			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		1 01	andian Na	A					
		Аррі	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			34,212	CADERA ET AL.					
			iner	Art Unit					
			nan S. Menon	1723					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s				
WHICH - Extensi after St - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAR ons of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply wily received by the Office later than three months aft patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. Itory period will apply ill, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNICATIO no event, however, may a reply be ti and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Pesnonsive to communication(s) filed	on OA January	2006	•					
· · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
'Ξ	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	n of Claims	o and on an pane	, quay,o, 1000 0.2. 1., 1	0.0.2.0.					
		application							
	claim(s) is/are pending in the		o consideration						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
·	claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or electi	on requirement.						
Application									
_		_							
	ne specification is objected to by the			—					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	pplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including t			, ,	404/4)				
	ne oath or declaration is objected to			=					
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Examine	. Note the attached Office	FACION OF TOTAL	12.				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	All b) Some * c) None of:	aare bara	baan nanah sad						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	. Copies of the certified copies of								
3	application from the Internation			eu III tilis National Stagt	E				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	ed.					
			Sorting Copies Not 100011	.					
Attachment(s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	lo(s)/Mail Date	10/30/00)	6) Other:	and it is a second of the second					

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4 are pending as preliminarily amended 1/7/05

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eades et al (US 6,890,431).

Eades teaches an apparatus for clarification of fluids using floatation and a method of clarifying as claimed. The system comprises a contact zone (30), a separation zone (32), a collection zone (36), inlet port (24), aggregate zone on top of the separation zone, with passage from the contact zone to the aggregate zone (see fig 1 and 4) as seen in figure 1. Figure 4 shows separation zone with immersed membrane 45". Removal device is described in column 2 lines 35-44. The membrane 45" substantially covers the separation zone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eades.

Claim 4 differs from the teaching of Eades in the use of permeate water for introducing air to the floatation process. Eades teaches dissolved air floatation, etc – in column 2 lines 26-34. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the permeate water, which is cleaned water, to make the dissolved air for the floatation process; one would use clean water for dissolving air, for the protection of the dissolved air generating equipment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,212 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan S. Menon Patent Examiner

3/3/06